

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Photon propagation in a plane-wave field

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1988 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 21 693

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/21/3/024)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 31/05/2010 at 11:31

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

## Photon propagation in a plane-wave field

Ian Affleck<sup>†</sup>

Physics Department, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 2A6

Received 18 August 1987

Abstract. Experiments have been proposed to observe non-linear QED effects using intense plane-wave fields. An external photon propagating in the field develops an index of refraction and a reversed polarisation component. We calculate simple analytic expressions for these effects in the low-energy low-intensity approximation and compare with the constant crossed-field limit and with numerical results.

Although calculations of non-linear QED effects are as old as QED itself, it has only recently become feasible to observe some of these effects experimentally. The proposed experiments [1] would involve high-energy electrons (presumably produced at the Stanford Linear Collider) colliding with an intense focused pulsed optical laser. A Lorentz boost factor of about  $10^6$  in the centre of mass frame would raise the electric field intensity, of about  $10^{13}$  V m<sup>-1</sup>, to the threshold for non-linear effects,  $eE/m^2 \approx 1$ . First round experiments would study non-linear Compton scattering involving coherent adsorbtion and emission of laser photons. An increase in laser intensity by two or three orders of magnitude would allow the observation of strong field effects on the propagation of external photons. These effects are weaker because they require the production of a virtual e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pair which must interact with the plane-wave photons (see figure 1). An external photon develops an index of refraction which may be observable via Cerenkov radiation [2] emitted by electrons travelling through the laser beam. An external photon can also adsorb or emit a pair of laser photons, switching its polarisation.

This index of refraction was calculated analytically for constant crossed electric and magnetic fields [3] (and also for a constant magnetic field [4]). The analogous calculation for a plane-wave field is considerably more complicated. The photon propagator to first order in  $\alpha$  but to all orders in  $eE/m^2$ , is given by the bubble graph of figure 1. Here, the bold curve represents the exact electron propagator in the



Figure 1. The photon propagator to lowest non-trivial order in  $\alpha$  but to all orders in *eE*. The bold lines represent the exact electron propagator in the background plane-wave field.

<sup>†</sup> Supported in part by the A P Sloan Foundation and the Canadian Institute For Advanced Research.

0305-4470/88/030693 + 06\$02.50 © 1988 IOP Publishing Ltd

background plane-wave field. The exact electron propagator is known but, nonetheless, the calculation of this graph is extremely laborious [5] and requires numerical integration. It is the purpose of this paper to give simple analytic formulae, valid in the low-intensity low-frequency limit. Comparison with the numerical results [5] indicates that the approximation is good even for intensities and frequencies of order one (compared to the electron mass). Apart from the index of refraction, we also calculate explicitly the polarisation states, give the polarisation flip amplitude, and examine the crossover from the constant field limit at low frequencies to a high-frequency limit in which the external photon is only sensitive to the average squared laser field. This latter limit would be realised experimentally.

The low-intensity and low-frequency approximation to this problem can be derived from the Euler-Heisenberg Lagrangian:

$$L = -\frac{1}{4}F^2 + (\alpha^2/45m^4)[\frac{1}{2}(F^2)^2 + \frac{7}{8}(F \cdot \tilde{F})^2]$$

where  $F^2 \equiv F^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$  is the dual field. The electromagnetic field,  $F_{\mu\nu}$ , is composed of the laser and external parts:

$$F = F_{\rm L} + F_{\rm ex}.$$

To study the propagation of (low-intensity) external light through the laser field we may expand L to second order in  $F_{ex}$  or, equivalently, study the modified Maxwell equations which are linear in  $F_{ex}$  (but not  $F_L$ ):

$$L = -\frac{1}{4}F_{ex}^{2} + (2\alpha^{2}/45m^{4})$$
$$\times [\frac{1}{2}F_{L}^{2}F_{ex}^{2} + (F_{L} \cdot F_{ex})^{2} + \frac{7}{8}(F_{L} \cdot \tilde{F}_{L})(F_{ex} \cdot \tilde{F}_{ex}) + \frac{7}{4}(F_{L} \cdot \tilde{F}_{ex})^{2}].$$

Using the fact that  $F_{\rm L}^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}F_{{\rm L}\lambda\rho} \propto p_{\mu}F_{\rm L}^{\mu\nu} = 0$  for a plane wave:

$$F_{L\mu\nu} = \exp(-ip \cdot x) f_{\mu\nu} + cc \tag{1}$$

where  $f_{\mu\nu}$  is constant and CC denotes complex conjugate, we obtain the modified Maxwell's equations:

$$\partial_{\mu}F_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mu\nu} + 2\rho F_{\mathrm{L}}^{\nu\mu}F_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \partial_{\mu}F_{\mathrm{ex}} + \frac{7}{2}\rho \tilde{F}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\nu\mu}\tilde{F}_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \partial_{\mu}F_{\mathrm{ex}} = 0$$
<sup>(2a)</sup>

where  $\rho = (\alpha/45\pi)(e/m^2)^2$ . (We follow, more or less, the notation and units of [4]; in particular  $\alpha = e^2/4\pi \approx 1/137$ .)

We consider first the case of a circularly polarised laser field, propagating in the z direction, for which:

$$f_{0i} \equiv e_i$$
  $e = E_0(1, i, 0)/2$   
 $f_{ij} \equiv \varepsilon_{ijk}b_k$   $b = E_0(-i, 1, 0)/2$ 

Here  $e_i$  and  $b_i$  are the complex electric and magnetic fields and  $E_0$  is their amplitude. Since  $b_i = -ie_i$  for circular polarisation, it follows that the dual field amplitude is simply related to the field amplitude by

$$\tilde{f}_{\mu\nu} = -\mathrm{i}f_{\mu\nu}$$

and equation (2) reduces to

$$\partial_{\mu}F_{\mathrm{ex}}^{\mu\nu} + \rho[\frac{11}{2}(f^{\nu\mu}f^{*\lambda\rho} + f^{*\nu\mu}f^{\lambda\rho}) - \frac{3}{2}f^{\nu\mu}f^{\lambda\rho}\exp(-\mathrm{i}2p\cdot x) + \mathrm{cc}]\partial_{\mu}F_{\mathrm{ex}\lambda\rho} = 0.$$
(2b)

We will find an exact solution of this equation of the form

$$F_{ex\mu\nu} = f^{0}_{\mu\nu} \exp(-ik \cdot x) + f^{-}_{\mu\nu} \exp[-i(k-2p) \cdot x] + f^{+}_{\mu\nu} \exp[-i(k+2p) \cdot x] + cc$$

where  $f^0$  represents the incoming external photon and  $f^x$  represent processes in which the external photon emits or adsorbs two laser photons. We express the  $f^a$  in terms of polarisation vectors  $\varepsilon^a$  and momenta

$$f^{0}_{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon^{0}_{\mu}k_{\nu} - \varepsilon^{0}_{\nu}k_{\mu}$$

and similarly for  $f^{\pm}$  with k replaced by  $(k \pm 2p)$ . We choose the gauge,  $\partial_{\mu}A^{\mu} = 0$ . Equation (2b) then reduces to five complex algebraic equations upon collecting the coefficients of  $\exp(-ik \cdot x)$ ,  $\exp[-i(k \pm 2p) \cdot x]$ ,  $\exp[-i(k \pm 4p) \cdot x]$ :

$$k^{2}\varepsilon^{0\mu} + 11\rho k_{\nu}(f^{\nu\mu}\varepsilon^{0} \cdot f^{*} \cdot k + f^{*\nu\mu}\varepsilon^{0} \cdot f \cdot k) - 3\rho k_{\nu}(f^{\nu\mu}\varepsilon^{-} \cdot f \cdot k + f^{*\nu\mu}\varepsilon^{+} \cdot f^{*} \cdot k) = 0$$
(3)

$$(k\pm 2p)^{2}\varepsilon^{\pm\mu} + 11\rho k_{\nu}(f^{\nu\mu}\varepsilon^{\pm} \cdot f^{\ast} \cdot k + f^{\ast\nu\mu}\varepsilon^{\pm} \cdot f \cdot k) - 3\rho k_{\nu}\begin{pmatrix} f^{\nu\mu}\varepsilon^{0} \cdot f \cdot k\\ f^{\ast\nu\mu}\varepsilon^{0} \cdot f^{\ast} \cdot k \end{pmatrix} = 0$$
(4)

$$k_{\nu} \begin{pmatrix} f^{\nu\mu} \varepsilon^+ \cdot f \cdot k \\ f^{*\nu\mu} \varepsilon^- \cdot f^* \cdot k \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

Here we have introduced the shorthand

$$\varepsilon \cdot f \cdot k \equiv \varepsilon^{\mu} f_{\mu\nu} k^{\nu} \qquad \qquad k \cdot f \cdot f \cdot k = k_{\mu} f^{\mu\nu} f_{\nu\lambda} k^{\lambda}$$

and used  $p^{\mu}f_{\mu\nu} = 0$ . The upper or lower expression in the brackets goes with the + or - sign, respectively. Note that

$$\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{F} \cdot \boldsymbol{k} = \boldsymbol{k}_0^2 \boldsymbol{E}^2 + \boldsymbol{k}^2 \boldsymbol{B}^2 - 2\boldsymbol{k}_0 \boldsymbol{k} \cdot (\boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{B}) - (\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{E})^2 - (\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{B})^2.$$
(6)

For a plane wave, travelling in the z direction, this reduces to

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{E}^{2} [k_{0}^{2} + \mathbf{k}^{2} - 2k_{0}k_{z} - k_{x}^{2} - k_{y}^{2}] = \mathbf{E}^{2} (k_{0} - k_{z})^{2}.$$
(7)

For circular polarisation, expressing F in terms of the complex amplitude, f, we find

 $k \cdot F \cdot F \cdot k = 2k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k + [k \cdot f \cdot f \cdot k \exp(-2ip \cdot x) + cc].$ 

Since  $k \cdot F \cdot F \cdot k$  is constant, this implies

$$k \cdot f \cdot f \cdot k = 0 \tag{8a}$$

$$k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k = E_0^2 (k_0 - k_z)^2 / 2.$$
(8b)

We can find solutions of equations (3)-(5) by choosing

$$\varepsilon^{0\mu} = k_{\nu} f^{\nu\mu} \qquad \varepsilon^{-\mu} = A^{-} k_{\nu} f^{*\nu\mu} \qquad \varepsilon^{+\mu} = 0 \tag{9a}$$

or

$$\varepsilon^{0\mu} = k_{\nu} f^{*\nu\mu} \qquad \varepsilon^{+\mu} = A^+ k_{\nu} f^{\nu\mu} \qquad \varepsilon^{-\mu} = 0 \tag{9b}$$

where  $A^{\pm}$  are constants to be determined. Equation (5) is satisfied identically, due to equation (8*a*). Equation (4) determines the amplitude  $A^{\pm}$ :

$$[(k \pm 2p)^2 + 11\rho k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k]A^{\pm} = 3\rho k \cdot f \cdot f \cdot k$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

and equation (3) determines the index of refraction:

$$[k^{2} + 11\rho k \cdot f \cdot f^{*} \cdot k][(k \pm 2p)^{2} 11\rho k \cdot f \cdot f^{*} \cdot k] = (3\rho k \cdot f \cdot f^{*} \cdot k)^{2}.$$
 (11)

696 I Affleck

These two different solutions are in fact the same, with k translated by 2p. In both cases there are two Fourier modes, with momenta differing by 2p. In general we expect two independent solutions of equations (2b), or of equations (10) and (11) with a *fixed* sign, corresponding to two different polarisations.

We now wish to consider two limits of the above results. The first is the limit  $p \rightarrow 0$ , or more accurately,

$$k \cdot p \ll \rho k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k.$$

The plane wave then reduces to constant crossed electric and magnetic fields, a case which was considered in [3].

In this limit the two solutions are

$$k^{2} + 11\rho k \cdot f \cdot f^{*} \cdot k = \pm 3\rho k \cdot f \cdot f^{*} \cdot k$$
(12a)

$$A = \pm 1. \tag{12b}$$

The two (linear) polarisations are, from equation (9),

 $\varepsilon^{1\mu} = k_{\nu} F_{\rm L}^{\nu\mu} \qquad \varepsilon^{2\mu} = k_{\nu} \tilde{F}_{\rm L}^{\nu\mu} \,. \tag{13}$ 

Upon making a guage transformation, so that  $e^{\pm 0} = 0$ , these become

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{1} = -\omega \boldsymbol{E} + (\boldsymbol{B} \times \boldsymbol{k}) + k_{x} \boldsymbol{k} / \omega$$
  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{2} = -\omega \boldsymbol{B} - (\boldsymbol{E} \times \boldsymbol{k}) + k_{x} \boldsymbol{k} / \omega.$ 

Here we have chosen  $F_{L}$  as in equation (1) with p = 0. The index of refraction, *n*, for each polarisation, defined by  $\omega n = |\mathbf{k}|$ , is

$$n = 1 + {\binom{4}{7}} (2\alpha/45\pi) (eE_0/m^2) \sin^4(\theta/2)$$
(14)

where  $\theta$  is the angle between the propagation direction of the laser and external wavefields. For  $k \propto -z$ ,  $\theta = \pi$ , i.e. the external plane wave travelling antiparallel to the laser, these reduce to:

$$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^1 \propto \boldsymbol{E} \qquad \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^2 \propto \boldsymbol{B}.$$

The maximum effect occurs for antiparallel momenta and perpendicular polarisations. These results were obtained previously for constant crossed fields [3].

The other limiting case that we will consider is

$$k \cdot p \gg \rho k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k$$

the limit of high frequency, compared to the intensity. (Of couse, both the frequency and the intensity must be small compared to m for the Euler-Heisenberg approximation, which we are using, to be valid.) In this limit we obtain a single solution of equation (3), for each sign:

$$k^2 + 11\rho k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k = 0 \tag{15a}$$

$$A^{\pm} = 3\rho k \cdot f \cdot f^{\ast} \cdot k / (\pm 4\rho k \cdot p) \qquad |A^{\pm}| \ll 1.$$
(15b)

Equation (15a) implies that the index of refraction is the average of the two obtained in the opposite limit  $p \rightarrow 0$ :

$$n = 1 + (11\alpha/45\pi)(eE_0/m^2)\sin^4(\theta/2).$$
(16)

Effectively the laser polarisation is rotating so quickly that the external photon averages the square of the laser field. Again specialising to the case where the external photon

is travelling antiparallel to the laser, the two polarisation vectors,  $k_{\mu}f^{\mu\nu}$  and  $k_{\mu}\tilde{f}^{\mu\nu} = k_{\mu}f^{*\mu\nu}$ , correspond to circular polarisations with spin respectively parallel or antiparallel to the laser photons. Thus, when the spin of the external photon is parallel to that of the laser photon, there is a small amplitude for the external photon to emit two laser photons and flip its spin to conserve angular momentum. In the other case, when the spin of the external photon is antiparallel to that of the laser photon, there is a small amplitude for the aser photon, there is a small amplitude for the aser photon, there is a small amplitude for the aser photon to emit two laser photons of two laser photons with an accompanying spin flip.

The index of refraction was evaluated [5] without use of the Euler-Heisenberg approximation, from the graph of figure 1. It was found from the numerical results [5], that

$$n-1 \approx 7.8 \times 10^{-4} \times 32 \pi \alpha (eE_0/m^2)^2$$

at low frequencies and intensities. This agrees with our equation (16) to better than 1%, providing a consistency check on both the numerical and the analytic calculations. The low-frequency and weak-field conditions for the validity of the Euler-Heisenberg approximation are  $k \cdot p/m^2 \ll 1$ ,  $e^2k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k/m^6 \ll 1$ . (Note that these are the only two gauge and Lorentz invariants that can be constructed from a plane-wave field with  $p^2 = 0$  and  $k^2 = 0$ , in lowest order.) It was found from the numerical evaluation [5] that equation (15*a*) was a fair approximation for

$$0.1 < \sqrt{k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k} / k \cdot p \approx eE_0 / m\omega_{\perp} < 1$$
$$2p \cdot k / m^2 \approx 4\omega\omega_{\perp} / m^2 \le 1.$$

We now briefly consider the case of a linearly polarised laser. The laser field can still be written as in equation (1) but now the electric and magnetic field amplitudes are real

$$e_i = E_0(1, 0, 0)$$
  $b_i = E_0(0, 1, 0).$ 

We again seek a solution of equation (2a) by expanding  $F_{ex}$  in Fourier modes,  $\exp[-i(k+2np) \cdot x]$ , but now we find that it is necessary to keep an infinite number of modes, with arbitrary n. The fact that only two modes occurred for circular polarisation was essentially a result of angular momentum conservation, together with the low-frequency approximation inherent in our Euler-Heisenberg approach: the external photon travelling antiparallel to the laser could not adsorb or emit more than two laser photons without violating angular momentum conservation, in an s wave state. No such constraint arises for linear polarisation since it corresponds to a superposition of both photon spin states. We now find that all Fourier modes have the same polarisation, either  $k_{\mu}f^{\mu\nu}$  or  $k_{\mu}\tilde{f}^{\mu\nu}$ , but an infinite set of coupled equations arises for the amplitudes of the different Fourier modes. In the low-frequency limit, we get the same result as before, corresponding to constant crossed fields. In the opposite limit, all higher Fourier modes (with  $n \neq 0$ ) are small, and to lowest order we can replace  $F_{L\mu\nu}F_{L\lambda\rho}$  in equation (2a) by its value averaged over one period, and similarly for  $F_{\rm L}\tilde{F}_{\rm L}$ . The equation then becomes the same as for constant crossed fields, except that the constant field squared is replaced by the average of the field squared. Thus we obtain the results of equation (14) except that  $E_0^2$  is replaced by  $\frac{1}{2}E_0^2$ . When the laser field is changing rapidly, the external photon is only sensitive to its average square value.

Let us now consider the experimental situation. The proposed experiments [1] would have electric fields of  $E_0 = 8.1 \times 10^{12} \text{ V m}^{-1}$ , an optical laser with a frequency of

 $\omega_{\rm L}$  = 4 eV and external photons with a maximum frequency of about  $\omega$  = 37 GeV. Thus

$$4k \cdot p/m^2 \approx 8\omega\omega_{\rm L}/m^2 \approx 4.5$$

$$e^2 k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k/m^2 \approx (eE_0/m^2)^2 (\omega/m)^2 \approx 0.195$$

$$\rho k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k/m^2 \approx (2\alpha/45\pi) (eE_0/m^2)^2 (\omega/m)^2 \approx 2.0 \times 10^{-5}$$

Based on the comparison with the numerical results mentioned above, we might expect the Euler-Heisenberg approximation to be reasonably good, even for the maximum frequency. The condition for the frequency to be high compared to the intensity:  $\rho k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k \ll 4k \cdot p$  is extremely well satisfied. Assuming a circularly polarised laser, the maximum index of refraction from equation (16) is:

$$n-1 = (11\alpha/45\pi)(eE_0/m^2)^2 = 2.1 \times 10^{-14}$$

The amplitude for adsorption (or emission) of a pair of laser photons, with an accompanying spin flip is, from equation (15b):

$$A = 3\rho k \cdot f \cdot f^* \cdot k/4k \cdot p = 1.3 \times 10^{-5}.$$

These effects are both extremely tiny at the proposed intensities and frequencies. With an increase in laser intensity by two or three orders of magnitude, they would become observable.

## Acknowledgment

I would like to thank K McDonald, H Mitter and N B Narozhnyi for interesting discussions.

## References

- [1] McDonald K T 1986 Preprint Princeton University DOE/ER/3072-38
- [2] Mitter H 1987 unpublished
- [3] Toll J S 1952 PhD thesis Princeton University Ritus V 1969 Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 57 2176
- [4] Brezin E and Itzykson C 1970 Phys. Rev. D 3 618
- [5] Becker W and Mitter H 1975 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 8 1638